

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-20 and 22 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 8, 10, 19 and 22 are amended and claim 21 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Reconsideration in view of the above amendments or the following remarks is respectfully requested.

A. The Office Action rejects claims 1-14 and 16-22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,961,593 to Lonka et al. (hereafter "Lonka"). Since Lonka does not teach or suggest features recited in the pending claims, the rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that Lonka does not teach or suggest at least features of a slide type portable terminal having a main unit that includes separated first and second key sections exposed in a surface of the main unit, wherein the first key section performs a first functionality and the second key section performs a second functionality different than the first functionality, wherein the display unit can be selectively slid to a first position, a second position where only one of the key sections is exposed, and a third position and combinations thereof as recited in claim 1.

The Office Action asserts Lonka discloses a hand-held communication electronic apparatus (mobile phone 600) that shows separated first (upper part 601) and a second (lower part 602) key sections in Figs. 6a and 6b of Lonka. In contrast, Applicants respectfully submit that upper part 601 includes quick-action keyboard 608 and lower part 602 includes number

keyboard 609. See column 4, lines 15-33. Thus, Figs. 6a and 6b of Lonka do not teach or suggest separated first and second key sections exposed in a surface of the main unit and combinations thereof as recited. Further, Applicants respectfully submit that Figs. 5a and 5b of Lonka do not teach or suggest at least features of a main unit that includes separated first and second key sections exposed in a surface of a main unit. See number keyboard 504 on top part 501 and letter keyboard 506 on bottom part 502 in Fig. 5a-5b of Lonka.

In addition, Applicants respectfully submit Fig. 3 of Lonka discloses a telescopic telephone whereby a lid 302 can be slid between a closed position and an open position (e.g., shown in Fig. 3) relative to housing 301. Mobile phone 300 shown in Fig. 3 of Lonka discloses the distance between the microphone 304 and loudspeaker 305 can be adjusted to fit a user by sliding the lid 302 relative to the housing 301. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Lonka discloses a mobile phone 300 sliding between a first position and a third position as recited in claim 1. However, Applicants respectfully submit that Fig. 3 in Lonka does not teach or suggest at least features of a second position where only one of the key sections is exposed and combinations thereof as recited in claim 1.

Also, Applicants respectfully submit that Fig. 3 in Lonka does not teach or suggest a main unit that includes separated first and second key sections exposed in a surface of the main unit, wherein the first key section performs a first functionality and the second key section performs a second functionality different than the first functionality and combinations thereof as recited in

claim 1. In contrast, Fig. 3 of Lonka discloses keys 303 having a single functionality. See column 1, line 48-column 2, line 3 of Lonka.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit Lonka does not teach or suggest any modifications to its disclosure that would result in at least features of a main unit that includes separated first and second key sections, wherein the first key section performs a first functionality and the second key section performs a second functionality different than the first functionality, wherein the display unit can be flexibly slid to a first position, a second position where only one of the key sections is exposed, and a third position and combinations thereof as recited in claim 1.

With respect to claim 19, Applicants respectfully submit that Lonka does not teach or suggest at least features of a resistive locking member between the display unit and the main unit wherein the resistive locking member has an engaging member and an engagement member that retractably engage at least when the display unit is in the second position and combinations thereof as recited in claim 19. In contrast, Applicants respectfully submit Lonka discloses mobile phone 300 having a lid 302 that slides between an open position and a closed position relative to the housing 301 to adjust its size to a user. See column 2, lines 1-3 of Lonka. Further, Applicants respectfully submit Lonka does not teach or suggest any modifications to its disclosure that would result in at least features of providing a resistive locking member and combinations thereof as recited in claim 19.

With respect to claim 10, Applicants respectfully submit Lonka does not teach or suggest at least features of first and second magnets respectively so that the magnets face each other to provide a repulsive force when they are positioned to face close to each other and combinations thereof as recited. In contrast, Applicants respectfully submit Lonka does not teach or suggest the use of magnets with respect to a portable terminal. See at least column 7, lines 1-28 of Lonka.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit claims 1, 19, and 10 define patentable subject matter. Claim 8 defines patentable subject matter for at least reasons similar to claim 1. Claim 22 defines patentable subject matter for at least reasons similar to claim 19. Claims 4, 11 and 20 define patentable subject matter for at least reasons similar to claim 10. Further, claims 2-7, 9, 11-14, 16-18 and 20 depend from claims 1, 8, 10 and 19, respectively, and therefore also define patentable subject matter for at least that reason as well as their additionally recited features. Claim 21 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-14 and 16-22 under §103 is respectfully requested.

B. The Office Action rejects claim 15 over Lonka and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0067768 to King et al. (hereafter "King"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that King does not teach or suggest at least features of first and second magnets and combinations thereof as recited in claim 10 and lacking from Lonka. Thus, King and Lonka, individually or in combination, would not result in at least features of a

Serial No. **10/784,703**

Docket No. **IK-0076**

Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2006

slide type portable computer including a main unit, a display unit and first and second magnets and combinations thereof as recited in claim 15.

For at least the reasons set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit claim 15 defines patentable subject matter. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 15 under §103 is respectfully requested.

Serial No. **10/784,703**  
Reply to Office Action of March 20, 2006

Docket No. **IK-0076**

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that any additional changes would place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney, **Carl R. Wesolowski**, at the telephone number listed below.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,  
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



Carl R. Wesolowski  
Registration No. 40,372

P.O. Box 221200  
Chantilly, Virginia 20153-1200  
(703) 766-3701 DYK/CRW:jld  
**Date: May 31, 2006**

**Please direct all correspondence to Customer Number 34610**